

# Journey to Certification of CHWs in Illinois

Presentation by:  
Leticia Boughton, Chicago CHW Local Network/HealthConnect One  
Venoncia M. Baté-Ambrus, MS, PhD (c), Practicing Community Health Psychologist  
Amparo Castillo, MD, UIC - Midwest Latino Health Research Training and Policy Center

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Overview

- CCHWLN background
- Survey and Focus Group purpose and results
- CCHWLN current activities
- Conclusion and Q & A

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Chicago CHW Local Network (the Network)

- Created in 2003 by a group of health educators and their organizations from the Chicago area.
- Mission: to support and facilitate the progress of CHWs and the diverse communities they serve through: group education, provision of information and resources, health promotion and disease prevention.
- The Network is by and for CHWs and is supported and advised by HealthConnect One, with the intention of becoming its own entity
- Since 2009, the Network, made up of CHWs and other stakeholders, has hosted forums, disseminated survey's, hosted focus groups and committee meetings around certification.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Introduction

- For many years Community Health Workers (CHWs) have had an important role in outreach, health promotion and prevention and health research in U.S.
- Too often their contributions to the healthcare workforce remain unknown and unrecognized by many.
- Illinois and other states do not have legislation recognizing CHWs.
- The Network recognized the urgency of addressing the need for CHW recognition.
- This presentation covers the results of the series of surveys and focus groups with CHWs in Chicago and its surrounding suburbs.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Process

- 2009 – 2012 hosted forums and meetings focused on building consensus to support CHW recognition in Illinois
- March 2011 CHW Policy Forum
  - Explored policy options from other States
  - Developed key policy questions for IL.
- CHW Local Network- "Policy workgroup" developed
- CHW/Stakeholders Policy Workshops developed
  - 4 Workshops with CHWs
  - 2 Workshops with Stakeholders
- Statewide online survey
- Data Analysis

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key Policy Questions

- How do CHWs and stakeholders define CHW roles, responsibilities and professional advancement?
- How are CHWs prepared to perform their duties in terms of training, competencies and supervision?
- What are the considerations pertaining to CHW certification?
  - Governance/Oversight
  - Core training/competencies
  - Supervision
  - Funding/Reimbursement
  - Challenges/Barriers

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Participants

- Over 100 CHWs and partners participated in an in-person workshop (English and Spanish)
- 83 CHWs and partners completed online survey:
  - 35 self-identified as CHW
  - 45 self-identified at employer, supervisor, stakeholder
  - 3 not from IL
- While this presentation will focus mainly on data from the focus groups, we will include some data from the online surveys.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key Findings

### Defining CHW Work/Scope

- Various definitions exist, APHA commonly preferred
- Community Health Worker Definition/Scope should:
  - Differentiate between paid and non-paid CHW
  - Incorporate research
  - Be broad and inclusive of all areas of CHW work
  - Include more focus on the systems impacted by CHWs as opposed to the tasks performed
  - Include empowerment
  - Capture the essence and mission for a CHW
  - Address the social determinants of health

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Training

Do you believe it is beneficial for all CHWs to have basic common training related to their work?

	CHW (N=35)	Other (N=45)
Yes	88.57%	84.44%
Unsure/ Depends on the requirements	11.43%	15.56%
No	0%	0%

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Training

Should there be different recognized levels of CHW work, like "basic," "advanced," "trainer/manager"?

	CHW (N=35)	Other (N=45)
Yes	82.86%	84.44%
No	17%	16%

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Training

Which process for core training would be best for CHWs?

	CHW	Other
CHWs participate in training program (academic or experiential) and then must pass an exam	14.29%	13.33%
CHWs participate in an accredited training program and then show a portfolio of classes/experiences to a certification board	22.86%	22.22%
A board accredits/ certifies training programs and each CHW who completes the training receives a certificate showing s/he has met the core competencies of that program	54.29%	48.89%
Every CHW job is different and there should not be core competency training	8.57%	15.56%

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Certification

- Confusion over "certificate training" and "certification"
- Agreement to develop Board of Certification
- Board composition:
  - At least 50% CHWs
  - Other 50% should include other health professionals with community experience, social service/social science professionals, training/curriculum specialists, agency administrators.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Certification

- Board members selected by CHWs and partners across state
  - Process yet to be determined
- Board functions, at least:
  - Reviewing curriculum/training programs for approval/accreditation
  - Define basic and advanced CHW competencies
  - Further discussion needed RE additional functions
- Statewide recognition of Board but not housed in State government

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key findings – Core Competencies

- Many core competencies not health specific:
  - Cultural competency
  - Knowledge of community served
  - Leadership skills
  - Interpersonal skills
  - Communication skills
- Basic health science knowledge needed
- Can allow for specialization in specific content areas

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Other Important Findings

- CHWs with significant experience should be “grandfathered” into process.
- CHW training and certification should be portable to any CHW who has completed process.
- CHW supervisors should have understanding of the communities served and the mission of CHW work.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Networks Current Initiatives

- Drafted legislation through the policy workgroup.
- Legislation would establish a standard definition for CHWs, a scope of practice, an advisory committee and encourage state agencies and other entities to utilize and reimburse the work of CHWs.
- Met with key policy makers, state legislators and state entities (IDPH, HHS) regarding legislation.
- Aiming to introduce legislation during the Spring 2014 legislative session.
- Curriculum and Certification committee revised and submitted CHW core curriculum to a junior college Board of Trustees and Illinois Community College Board.
- Happy to announce that a CHW basic certificate will be offered at the junior college (South Suburban College) in January, 2014.
- Currently in talks with a City College to implement a CHW core curriculum and establish a career pathway.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Discussion:

- Some points need more discussion and clarification:
  - Training and certification are two different aspects of the process; no definition of certification from the outset;
  - Certification Board and should be clearly differentiated from professional association;
  - Limits and implications of the power of the Board;
  - Levels of certification for trainers and trainees; number of and requirements for training organizations; contents of training/education programs;
  - Implications of grandfathering: pros and cons, limits, etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Limitations:

- Sampling frame: convenience sample; relied on collaborations with the CCHWN;
- Opponents to certification are not included;
- Focus group data were not transcribed verbatim but reported in summary form;
- Focus group questions were not applied in a standardized way;
- Demographic characteristics of the respondents were not collected;
- No identifiers to differentiate statements; potential multiple contributions by a single participant;
- Two coders are members of the CHW local network.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Conclusions:

- Main recommendations:
  - Define scope of CHW practice, core competencies;
  - Standardization of education/training/certification processes;
  - Establish tiered levels of occupational advancement.
- Additional recommendations:
  - Creation of governing body;
  - Creation of grandmothering process sensible to immigration status and education level of experienced CHWs;
  - Offer training to supervisors and trainers;
  - Training locations embedded in the community;
  - Portability of training and certification;
  - Funding mechanism to give sustainability to the CHW workforce.
- Empower CHWs to give voice to their needs and wants;
  - Seek models from other states;
  - Advocate;
  - Craft strategy that is inclusive of all types of CHWs; communities and occupational settings;
  - Not strip the CHW profession of its heart!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Closing Remarks

- Thank you for listening.
- Thank Venoncia and Amparo for co-presenting.
- Thank everyone in Illinois who have laid the foundation and supported the Network's efforts to move CHWs forward in Illinois.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---